individuality, as though "bio-robots", which they no doubt are.

(3): "I heard it in my head" or "I heard him in my head" is something that contactees have been telling us for years past, in reports from all parts of the world.

POSTSCRIPT.

Now see, and compare the two entities seen at night in the car headlights in precisely the same region, near the Observatory, as described by Jorge Martín in his article on page 15 of our last-but-one issue, FSR 45/2. These beings are surely identical! -G.C.■

AN INTERESTING SITUATION IN PUERTO RICO!

I. HOW "OBJECTIVE" IS THE PUERTO RICO GOVERNMENT'S OFFICIAL INVESTIGATION OF THE CHUPACABRAS? © BY JORGE MARTÍN, Editor of EVIDENCIA OVNI (Puerto Rico) and FSR Consultant.

(Translated from Spanish, G.C., EVIDENCIA OVNI No. 9, 1996)

The incidents of strange deaths of animals, attributed to "Anomalous Biological Entities" or "Chupacabras", continue to occur throughout the whole Island. A group of Government Agencies, including the Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture, the Department of Natural Resources and the State Civil Defence for the Area of Mayaguez, represented by the Division of Special Operations, have now created an investigative body, using the Department of Agriculture's laboratory at Dorado as the centre of operations to which the dead animals will be brought so that they may be 'necropsiado' as we say in Spanish and an answer be found for the many enquiries.

But unfortunately certain details seem to indicate that the investigation is already flawed, and that the whole thing springs simply from an intention to allay public opinion even though it be by means of deception. Ah yes! and while this is going on, UFOs are still being seen at the places affected by the presence of the creatures, and where the mysterious deaths of animals are occurring. Read - and judge for yourselves. -J.M.

With vast panoply and fuss the various organisations involved in this 'Task Force' have let it be known, via the media, that they have already commenced "the most serious and most objective" investigation of the myth of the so-called "Chupacabra" aimed at explaining the mystery deaths of animals throughout the entire Island which have been attributed to the activities of a strange creature.

The said investigation is however vitiated from the start, inasmuch as its organizers referred to what has been happening as "the MYTH" of the "Chupacabra", thereby insinuating that the whole business about the mysterious creature-seen by dozens and dozens of people, and of which there are detailed sketches - is completely false.

While on the one hand they were saying that the investigation would be a totally objective one, directed at finding what is responsible for the killings, on the other hand they were saying that they would prove that what

was causing the deaths of the animals were feral dogs or monkeys! In other words, they were launching an allegedly objective investigation with an already completely biased *a priori* estimate of the situation which - patently - disqualifies the entire enterprise!

But as if that were not sufficient, what has happened in the days following was ever worse. In what was a patent spectacle set up via the communication media, particularly the Television, an attempt was made to manipulate public opinion by showing an autopsy of a goat that had died in the same fashion as thousands of animals throughout the country.


Those who saw the pictures will remember how the body of the goat was shaved in order to show numerous small holes which - so the professionals running the autopsy were telling us - were "only superficial marks on the skin, not bites, and that they did not penetrate into the interior of the body of the animal".

This was stated with all the naturalness in the world, even when everyone could see for himself that they were indeed holes going deeply into the body. Likewise, they were mighty careful not to stick their instruments into the holes, which would have let the televiewers see how deep the wounds really were!

Clearly the aim was still to bolster up the official theory that what was responsible for the wounds was *dogs*, and that those "tiny little holes" were made by the dogs' teeth. That, gentlemen, is false, and a clear manipulation of information as was clearly visible when they showed the carcase opened up right along the body.

It was then clearly to be seen that the "tiny" holes reached right down to organs inside the animal such as its stomach and its liver, which means that whatever penetrated down to those organs had been between four inches and six inches long. And the maximum length that a dog's or a monkey's tooth can have is one inch.

But despite it all, the representatives of the Department of Agriculture were once more repeating that what they had seen so far indicated that possibly dogs were





responsible. Something that surprised us very much was the attitude of members of the *press*, who did not question the "mediatized" information that was being given to them. The sole exception to that attitude on the part of the press was the reporter from WAPA TV, Channel 4, Pedro Rosa Nales.

Likewise - when a common predator, like a dog or monkey or tiger, etc., bites another animal, it will leave a pair of holes on both sides of the neck or head or body. But in these present cases only two holes are seen, on one side only on the animal and not on both.

And here again - the professionals were mighty careful not to mention, in front of the cameras, the course followed by the wounds inside the animal. Is this because it was possible to observe a repetitive pattern and the journalists asked about it and compared it with what had been found in the other autopsies allegedly done by them?

In the necropsies of two rabbits that we had arranged for on the Channel 11Television, Levittown, Toa Baja, and which were carried out by Dr Carlos Soto, a veterinarian in Levittown, Toa Baja, we found the same repetitive pattern in the wounds, which were the aforesaid usual type, small holes 1/4 of an inch in diameter, in pairs, and penetrating into the animal's neck on the right side of the body only and following a straight course to the animal's cerebellum and killing it as it did so. We could also mention another feature - the mysterious perfectly circular *large* holes, that are in no way whatever

compatible with dog or monkey bites.

Another anomalous detail found by us in one of the two rabbits was that, although it had a similar large round hole in the rear part of the head, when the body was opened at the front, where there were no wounds, it was found unbelievably - that missing from it were its oesophagus, its trachea and some muscles.

There is no rational explanation for this, since there were no openings at all at the front, nor were there any signs of tears or incisions indicative of how those organs could have been got out. Not even the most skilful of surgeons could have done that. So - once again, we ask the question: WHAT DOG, MONKEY, OR EXOTIC BEAST COULD HAVE DONE IT? THE ANSWER IS: NONE. IT IS TOTALLY IMPOSSIBLE.

And yet the fact remains - the weird creatures *are* doing it. And this again is something that the authorities are incapable of explaining.

Another thing is that the members of the "Task Force" denied ever having seen any dead animal showing the big perfectly round holes - which have become another regular pattern in these cases. And that isn't true either!

Because the members of the Department of Agriculture and of the Department of Natural Resources and of the other agencies HAVE seen these wounds, *in many cases*, as we know very well. Quite clearly they won't recognize the existence of these sort of wounds because that too would knock the bottom out of their official theory as to

the cause of the deaths. And all that is very bad too.

But that's not the end of it. Both in some of the cases investigated by Dr. Carlos Soto and in some of those investigated by myself, it has been found that the blood of the animal does not coagulate, or takes a very long time to do so, and that there is also no rigor mortis, rigidity, which is quite abnormal.

Once again, if that were to be found in only one case, it would maybe pass as being just fortuitous, but when you find it in a whole lot of cases it turns into a disturbing pattern and one for which there is also no easy scientific explanation.

It is certain that, as we have seen, the Authorities are

thrashing about wildly in an attempt to silence public opinion which is clamouring for serious explanations to the enigma of the killings and to the apparitions of the strange creatures.

And why is it that the Authorities are behaving like this Is it that maybe they know something about what lies behind the enigma and they are refusing to reveal it because they are of the opinion that the public is not prepared for accepting it? And maybe the UFO Phenomenon is indeed linked to the matter?

In our next issue we shall analyze certain cases which do appear to indicate precisely that.

II. HOW THE GOVERNOR OF PUERTO RICO SAW A LARGE UFO ENTER THE SEA, AND HOW IT WAS "HUSHED UP"! © BY JORGE MARTÍN, Editor of EVIDENCIA OVNI (PUERTO RICO) and FSR Consultant.

(Précis translation from Spanish. G.C., EVIDENCIA OVNI No. 17, 1998)

The United States Government is at present engaged in a project of very great importance, namely the possible transfer of the U.S. Army's Southern Command from its present base in the Panama Canal to a new position in Puerto Rico, this change being necessitated by the fact that shortly the sovereignty over the Panama Canal Zone will revert to the Panamanian Republic.

In the early hours of May 1, 1997, aboard a U.S. warship anchored off the U.S. Naval Station at Roosevelt Roads, at the eastern end of the Island of Puerto Rico, the Governor of the Island, the Honourable Sr. Pedro Roselló González, was engaged in a top secret discussion with top U.S. Naval representatives about the problems involved in such a transfer, when suddenly - around 1.00 a.m. we are told, a large brilliantly lighted UFO of disc type appeared overhead, flew around silently for a while

above the ship and then plunged, also silently, into the sea. One of those personalities who were present with the Governor and witnessed this incident has informed me that it made an immense impression on the Governor and all who were there with him.

I have confirmed through another confidential channel that the incident did occur as I have related it, and that the Governor of Puerto Rico was indeed one of the witnesses. And this confidential source said this to me:

"Look Martin, I don't understand how it is that you know about this. But yes - it did happen. Well now - don't expect an official statement from the Governor, because he isn't going to make one. If of course the U.S. Government says anything about it, well then yes, he could say something. Meanwhile, don't even dream of it!"



A E R I A L VIEW OF A R E C I B O O B S E R V A -TORY.

Courtesy of the NAIC- Arecibo Observatory, a facility of the NSF...David Parker/Science Photo Library